



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,347		12/06/2001	Zeev Smilansky	Q67625	6579	
32588	7590	01/13/2005		EXAMINER		
		RIALS, INC.	BALI, VIKKRAM			
		0. M/S 2061 CA 95050		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	, , , ,			2623		
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/003,347	SMILANSKY ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vikkram Bali	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 January 2005</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly				
 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	on or appoinable.					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 26-29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner /							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:		Vikkram Bali Primary Examiner					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/003,347

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims are still deemed unpatentable over the a of record and applicants arguments have not been persuasive. Applicant argues that the references fail to disclose the pixel alone responds to the light of a scanning beam without reference to adjacent pixels. Examiner disagrees. Alumot discloses method for detection of defects on semiconductor wafers, (see col. 12, lines 1-7) that explains the methodology of getting the reference by computing the type of each pixel "individual pixel" and the same methodology is performed during the inspection process, and "under control" is read as the area under investigation or inspection, defined by the way in which the pixel responds to the light of a scanning beam, (col. 1, lines 15-19, states that the photo detector detects the presence of a particle by collecting the light scattered by the particles i.e. the light scattered is read as "pixel responds to the light scanning beam"). And, Tsai in the wafer defect detection method teaches a pixel by pixel comparison for detecting the defects on the wafer, thereby teaching "the pixel alone and the without reference to adjacent pixels, for the signature of the pixel", (see col. 3, lines 42-52, because the pixel to pixel is compared therefore, the signatures on a alone pixel is taken without referencing the adjacent pixels) as claimed.